Calibrations and energy-minimizing mappings of rank-1 symmetric spaces

Geometric Analysis – Universität Regensburg

Joseph Ansel Hoisington Max Planck Institute for Mathematics

March 11, 2023

Hoisington Energy-minimizing maps

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Energy of mappings of Riemannian manifolds

Let (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The **energy** of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h)$ is

$$E_2(F) = \int_M |dF|^2 dV oI_M, \tag{1}$$

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

æ

where |dF| is the Euclidean norm of the differential dF.

Energy of mappings of Riemannian manifolds

Let (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The **energy** of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h)$ is

$$E_2(F) = \int_M |dF|^2 dV oI_M,$$
(1)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

where |dF| is the Euclidean norm of the differential dF.

For $F: (M,g) \to \mathbb{R}$, this is the Dirichlet energy of the function F, and when dim(M) = 1, this coincides with the standard definition of the energy of a path in a Riemannian manifold.

N.B. Many authors define the energy to be $\frac{1}{2}$ the definition above.

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^2, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^2, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let A* be:

 $inf\{|f(S^2)| \mid f: S^2 \to (M,g) \text{ is in the free homotopy class of } F|_{\mathbb{C}P^1}.\}$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^2, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let A* be:

 $inf\{|f(S^2)| \mid f: S^2 \to (M,g) \text{ is in the free homotopy class of } F|_{\mathbb{C}P^1}.\}$

Then $E_2(F) \ge 2\pi A^*$.

イロン イ団 とくほ とくほ とう

3

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^2, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let A* be:

 $inf\{|f(S^2)| \mid f: S^2 \to (M,g) \text{ is in the free homotopy class of } F|_{\mathbb{C}P^1}.\}$

Then $E_2(F) \ge 2\pi A^*$.

Equality implies that F is smooth and that, letting \mathscr{V} be the domain in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ on which rk(dF) = 4, F^*g is a Kähler metric on \mathscr{V} .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^2, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let A* be:

 $inf\{|f(S^2)| \mid f: S^2 \to (M,g) \text{ is in the free homotopy class of } F|_{\mathbb{C}P^1}.\}$

Then $E_2(F) \ge 2\pi A^*$.

Equality implies that F is smooth and that, letting \mathscr{V} be the domain in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ on which rk(dF) = 4, F^*g is a Kähler metric on \mathscr{V} .

Moreover, $F(\mathcal{V})$ is minimal in (M,g) and its second fundamental form can be diagonalized by a unitary basis rel. to F^*g .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from *M* to *N*.

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from M to N.

Geodesics are harmonic mappings of intervals or loops (when parametrized with constant speed). More generally, (isometric immersions of) minimal submanifolds are harmonic mappings.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from *M* to *N*.

Geodesics are harmonic mappings of intervals or loops (when parametrized with constant speed). More generally, (isometric immersions of) minimal submanifolds are harmonic mappings. Holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) mappings of compact Kähler manifolds are harmonic [Lic70] – more on this later.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from *M* to *N*.

Geodesics are harmonic mappings of intervals or loops (when parametrized with constant speed). More generally, (isometric immersions of) minimal submanifolds are harmonic mappings. Holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) mappings of compact Kähler manifolds are harmonic [Lic70] – more on this later. Group homomorphisms of compact Lie groups with bi-invariant metrics are harmonic.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from *M* to *N*.

Geodesics are harmonic mappings of intervals or loops (when parametrized with constant speed). More generally, (isometric immersions of) minimal submanifolds are harmonic mappings. Holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) mappings of compact Kähler manifolds are harmonic [Lic70] – more on this later. Group homomorphisms of compact Lie groups with bi-invariant metrics are harmonic.

Theorem

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. Suppose (N, g_N) has nonpositive sectional curvature.

Eells and Sampson [ES64] initiated the study of the critical points of the energy functional on the space of mappings between compact Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. A **harmonic mapping** $F : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is a critical point of the energy functional on the space of mappings from *M* to *N*.

Geodesics are harmonic mappings of intervals or loops (when parametrized with constant speed). More generally, (isometric immersions of) minimal submanifolds are harmonic mappings. Holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) mappings of compact Kähler manifolds are harmonic [Lic70] – more on this later. Group homomorphisms of compact Lie groups with bi-invariant metrics are harmonic.

Theorem

Let (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) be closed Riemannian manifolds. Suppose (N, g_N) has nonpositive sectional curvature.

Eells, Sampson [ES64]: Every mapping $f : (M, g_M) \to (N, g_N)$ is homotopic to a harmonic mapping f_{∞} with $E_2(f_{\infty}) \leq E_2(f)$.

The results of [ES64] and subsequent work of Hartman [Har67] imply that the identity mapping of a closed Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature is energy minimizing in its homotopy class.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian manifold is harmonic, however it is not always energy minimizing in its homotopy class:

The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian manifold is harmonic, however it is not always energy minimizing in its homotopy class:

 Conformal dilations of the round sphere (Sⁿ, g₀), n ≥ 3 give energy-decreasing deformations of the identity mapping. In fact, the energy of these mappings decays to 0.

In particular, the identity mapping of (S^n, g_0) , $n \ge 3$ is not a stable critical point of the energy functional and the identity map is not energy-minimizing in its homotopy class.

The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian manifold is harmonic, however it is not always energy minimizing in its homotopy class:

 Conformal dilations of the round sphere (Sⁿ, g₀), n ≥ 3 give energy-decreasing deformations of the identity mapping. In fact, the energy of these mappings decays to 0.

In particular, the identity mapping of (S^n, g_0) , $n \ge 3$ is not a stable critical point of the energy functional and the identity map is not energy-minimizing in its homotopy class.

*** For surfaces, the energy of a mapping is bounded below by the area of the image, with equality precisely when the mapping is conformal. Thus, the identity mapping of any Riemannian surface is energy minimizing in its homotopy class.

The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian manifold is harmonic, however it is not always energy minimizing in its homotopy class:

 Conformal dilations of the round sphere (Sⁿ, g₀), n ≥ 3 give energy-decreasing deformations of the identity mapping. In fact, the energy of these mappings decays to 0.

In particular, the identity mapping of (S^n, g_0) , $n \ge 3$ is not a stable critical point of the energy functional and the identity map is not energy-minimizing in its homotopy class.

*** For surfaces, the energy of a mapping is bounded below by the area of the image, with equality precisely when the mapping is conformal. Thus, the identity mapping of any Riemannian surface is energy minimizing in its homotopy class.

• Brian White has proven:

Theorem (White [Wh86])

Let (M,g) be a closed Riemannian manifold with $\pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = 0$. Then the identity mapping of M is homotopic to maps with arbitrarily small energy.

In fact, White's results say more - more on this later.

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g).

Let L^* be the infimum of the lengths of paths in the free homotopy class of $F(\gamma)$, where γ is a geodesic of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. Then:

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g).

Let L^* be the infimum of the lengths of paths in the free homotopy class of $F(\gamma)$, where γ is a geodesic of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{\sigma(n)}{2\pi^2} L^{*^2}, \tag{2}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

where $\sigma(n) = Vol(S^n)$. Equality implies that F is a homothety onto a totally geodesic submanifold.

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g).

Let L^* be the infimum of the lengths of paths in the free homotopy class of $F(\gamma)$, where γ is a geodesic of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{\sigma(n)}{2\pi^2} L^{*^2}, \tag{2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

where $\sigma(n) = Vol(S^n)$. Equality implies that F is a homothety onto a totally geodesic submanifold.

In particular, the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class, uniquely up to isometries.

The identity mapping of complex projective space with its canonical metric is also energy-minimizing in its homotopy class.

This follows from a much more general theorem of Lichnerowicz:

Theorem (Lichnerowicz [Lic70])

Let (X, h_X) and (Z, h_Z) be compact Kähler manifolds.

Let $F: X \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping which is (anti-)holomorphic.

The identity mapping of complex projective space with its canonical metric is also energy-minimizing in its homotopy class.

This follows from a much more general theorem of Lichnerowicz:

Theorem (Lichnerowicz [Lic70])

Let (X, h_X) and (Z, h_Z) be compact Kähler manifolds.

Let $F : X \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping which is (anti-)holomorphic.

Then for any mapping $f : X \to Z$ which is homotopic to F, $E_2(f) \ge E_2(F)$. Equality holds iff f is also (anti-)holomorphic.

In particular, holomorphic and antiholomorphic mappings of compact Kähler manifolds minimize energy in their homotopy classes.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

So, to recap:

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class. This follows from a lower bound for the energy of a mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ with a rigid equality characterization (homothety onto a totally geodesic submanifold).

So, to recap:

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class. This follows from a lower bound for the energy of a mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ with a rigid equality characterization (homothety onto a totally geodesic submanifold).

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class. This follows from Lichnerowicz's theorem about mappings of Kähler manifolds.

A (1) > A (1) > A

So, to recap:

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class. This follows from a lower bound for the energy of a mapping of $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$ with a rigid equality characterization (homothety onto a totally geodesic submanifold).

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ is energy minimizing in its homotopy class. This follows from Lichnerowicz's theorem about mappings of Kähler manifolds.

However, Lichnerowicz's theorem also implies that the equality case for $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ must be bigger than for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$:

There are biholomorphic mappings of $\mathbb{C}P^N$ in the homotopy class of the identity which are not isometries of g_0 . Lichnerowicz's theorem implies these are also energy minimizing.

To recap:

The energy minimizing property of the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ can also be proven by an adaptation of Croke's proof for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. This also gives a lower bound for the energy of any mapping from $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ to a Riemannian manifold (M, g):

To recap:

The energy minimizing property of the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ can also be proven by an adaptation of Croke's proof for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. This also gives a lower bound for the energy of any mapping from $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ to a Riemannian manifold (M, g):

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let A^* be the infimum of the areas of $f : S^2 \to M$ in the free homotopy class of $F(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

• • • • • • • • • • • •

To recap:

The energy minimizing property of the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ can also be proven by an adaptation of Croke's proof for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. This also gives a lower bound for the energy of any mapping from $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ to a Riemannian manifold (M, g):

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let A^* be the infimum of the areas of $f : S^2 \to M$ in the free homotopy class of $F(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(3)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

To recap:

The energy minimizing property of the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ can also be proven by an adaptation of Croke's proof for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. This also gives a lower bound for the energy of any mapping from $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ to a Riemannian manifold (M, g):

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let A^* be the infimum of the areas of $f : S^2 \to M$ in the free homotopy class of $F(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}A^*.$$
 (3)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality holds in (3) for the identity mapping, however the calibrated structure of a Kähler manifold implies that equality also holds for any holomorphic mapping $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0) \to (X, h)$ to a compact Kähler manifold.

To recap:

The energy minimizing property of the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ can also be proven by an adaptation of Croke's proof for $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_0)$. This also gives a lower bound for the energy of any mapping from $(\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0)$ to a Riemannian manifold (M, g):

Theorem (Croke [Cr87])

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \to (M, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g). Let A^* be the infimum of the areas of $f : S^2 \to M$ in the free homotopy class of $F(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(3)

A (10) A (10) A (10) A

Equality holds in (3) for the identity mapping, however the calibrated structure of a Kähler manifold implies that equality also holds for any holomorphic mapping $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, g_0) \to (X, h)$ to a compact Kähler manifold.

The theorem at the beginning implies that holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) mappings are essentially the only such energy-minimizing mappings of $(\mathbb{C}P^2, g_0)$.

Moreover, it shows that the same sharp lower bound holds for the energy of mappings of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with any Kähler metric \tilde{g} , and that holomorphic and antiholomorphic mappings are the only such energy-minimizing mappings of Kähler metrics on $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

The proof of the the theorem at the beginning uses the following lemma:

Lemma (H. [Hois21])

Let (X,h) be a Hermitian surface (of complex dimension 2).

Suppose that for all $x_0 \in X$ and all complex lines Π in $T_{x_0}X$ there is a complex curve $\Sigma_{\Pi} \subseteq X$ (of complex dimension 1) with Π tangent to Σ_{Π} , and with the mean curvature of Σ_{Π} vanishing at x_0 .

The proof of the the theorem at the beginning uses the following lemma:

Lemma (H. [Hois21])

Let (X,h) be a Hermitian surface (of complex dimension 2).

Suppose that for all $x_0 \in X$ and all complex lines Π in $T_{x_0}X$ there is a complex curve $\Sigma_{\Pi} \subseteq X$ (of complex dimension 1) with Π tangent to Σ_{Π} , and with the mean curvature of Σ_{Π} vanishing at x_0 .

Then h is a Kähler metric.

In particular, if (X, h) is a Hermitian surface in which all complex curves are minimal then h is a Kähler metric.

The proof of the the theorem at the beginning uses the following lemma:

Lemma (H. [Hois21])

Let (X,h) be a Hermitian surface (of complex dimension 2).

Suppose that for all $x_0 \in X$ and all complex lines Π in $T_{x_0}X$ there is a complex curve $\Sigma_{\Pi} \subseteq X$ (of complex dimension 1) with Π tangent to Σ_{Π} , and with the mean curvature of Σ_{Π} vanishing at x_0 .

Then h is a Kähler metric.

In particular, if (X, h) is a Hermitian surface in which all complex curves are minimal then h is a Kähler metric.

In fact, a more general statement holds.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

A characterization of Kähler surfaces

For mappings of $\mathbb{C}P^N$ in general:

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

3

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(4)

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(4)

Equality implies that F^*g is a positive semidefinite Hermitian bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, in particular a Hermitian metric on the domain \mathscr{V} on which rk(dF) = 2N.

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(4)

Equality implies that F^*g is a positive semidefinite Hermitian bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, in particular a Hermitian metric on the domain \mathscr{V} on which rk(dF) = 2N.

Letting ω^* denote the Kähler form of F^*g , for all k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, $d(\omega^{*^k})$ vanishes on all complex tangent subspaces of complex dimension k + 1. In particular, $\omega^{*^{N-1}}$ is closed.

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(4)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality implies that F^*g is a positive semidefinite Hermitian bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, in particular a Hermitian metric on the domain \mathscr{V} on which rk(dF) = 2N.

Letting ω^* denote the Kähler form of F^*g , for all k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, $d(\omega^{*^k})$ vanishes on all complex tangent subspaces of complex dimension k + 1. In particular, $\omega^{*^{N-1}}$ is closed.

Moreover, $F(\mathcal{V})$ is a minimal submanifold of (M,g), and the second fundamental form of $F(\mathcal{V})$ in (M,g) can be diagonalized by a unitary basis of F^*g .

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, suppose WLOG that \tilde{g} is cohomologous to the canonical metric g_0 with sectional curvature K satisfying $1 \le K \le 4$.

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M^m, g)$ be a mapping to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and A^* the infimum of the areas of surfaces in the free homotopy class of $F_*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. Then:

$$E_2(F) \ge \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} A^*.$$
(4)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality implies that F^*g is a positive semidefinite Hermitian bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}P^N$, in particular a Hermitian metric on the domain \mathscr{V} on which rk(dF) = 2N.

Letting ω^* denote the Kähler form of F^*g , for all k = 1, 2, ..., N-1, $d(\omega^{*^k})$ vanishes on all complex tangent subspaces of complex dimension k + 1. In particular, $\omega^{*^{N-1}}$ is closed.

Moreover, $F(\mathcal{V})$ is a minimal submanifold of (M,g), and the second fundamental form of $F(\mathcal{V})$ in (M,g) can be diagonalized by a unitary basis of F^*g .

In particular, for all complex surfaces Z in $\mathbb{C}P^N$, $F^*g|_{Z\cap \mathscr{V}}$ is a Kähler metric.

Parallels with systolic geometry

The systolic inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ states:

Theorem (Pu [Pu52])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Let A be its area, and let sys(g) be the length of the shortest non-contractible curve in ($\mathbb{R}P^2$, g). Then:

$$A \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sys}(g)^2.$$
 (5)

Equality holds if and only if g has constant curvature.

Parallels with systolic geometry

The systolic inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ states:

Theorem (Pu [Pu52])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Let A be its area, and let sys(g) be the length of the shortest non-contractible curve in ($\mathbb{R}P^2$, g). Then:

$$A \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sys}(g)^2.$$
 (5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Equality holds if and only if g has constant curvature.

Pu's inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ can be seen as a special case of Croke's lower bound for the energy of $f : (\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (M, g)$:

Parallels with systolic geometry

The systolic inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ states:

Theorem (Pu [Pu52])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Let A be its area, and let sys(g) be the length of the shortest non-contractible curve in ($\mathbb{R}P^2$, g). Then:

$$A \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sys}(g)^2.$$
 (5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality holds if and only if g has constant curvature.

Pu's inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ can be seen as a special case of Croke's lower bound for the energy of $f : (\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (M, g)$:

Any Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is conformally equivalent to a metric g_0 with constant curvature, unique up to scale.

The systolic inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ states:

Theorem (Pu [Pu52])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Let A be its area, and let sys(g) be the length of the shortest non-contractible curve in ($\mathbb{R}P^2$, g). Then:

$$A \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sys}(g)^2.$$
 (5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality holds if and only if g has constant curvature.

Pu's inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ can be seen as a special case of Croke's lower bound for the energy of $f : (\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (M, g)$:

Any Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is conformally equivalent to a metric g_0 with constant curvature, unique up to scale.

The area of $(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$ is equal to the energy of the identity mapping $(\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.

The systolic inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ states:

Theorem (Pu [Pu52])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Let A be its area, and let sys(g) be the length of the shortest non-contractible curve in ($\mathbb{R}P^2$, g). Then:

$$A \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sys}(g)^2.$$
 (5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Equality holds if and only if g has constant curvature.

Pu's inequality for $\mathbb{R}P^2$ can be seen as a special case of Croke's lower bound for the energy of $f : (\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (M, g)$:

Any Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is conformally equivalent to a metric g_0 with constant curvature, unique up to scale.

The area of $(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$ is equal to the energy of the identity mapping $(\mathbb{R}P^2, g_0) \to (\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.

Croke's lower bound for energy then gives Pu's inequality (5).

The stable systolic inequality for $\mathbb{C}P^N$ states:

Theorem (Gromov [Gr81], see also [BKSW09])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Let $Vol(\mathbb{C}P^N, g)$ be its volume and $stsys_2(g)$ its stable 2-systole, that is:

$$stsys_2(g) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mu_k}{k},$$

where μ_k is the minimum mass of an integral current representing $k \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^N;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

The stable systolic inequality for $\mathbb{C}P^N$ states:

Theorem (Gromov [Gr81], see also [BKSW09])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Let $Vol(\mathbb{C}P^N, g)$ be its volume and $stsys_2(g)$ its stable 2-systole, that is:

$$stsys_2(g) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_k}{k},$$

where μ_k is the minimum mass of an integral current representing $k \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^N;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Then we have:

$$Vol(\mathbb{C}P^N,g) \geq rac{stsys_2(g)^N}{N!}$$

• • • • • • • • • • • •

The stable systolic inequality for $\mathbb{C}P^N$ states:

Theorem (Gromov [Gr81], see also [BKSW09])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Let $Vol(\mathbb{C}P^N, g)$ be its volume and $stsys_2(g)$ its stable 2-systole, that is:

$$stsys_2(g) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_k}{k},$$

where μ_k is the minimum mass of an integral current representing $k \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^N;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Then we have:

$$Vol(\mathbb{C}P^N,g)\geq rac{stsys_2(g)^N}{N!}.$$

Equality holds for the canonical metric g_0 on $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

However this implies that equality holds for all Kähler metrics \tilde{g} on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Again, this follows from the calibrated structure of the Kähler metric.

Quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^N$ satisfies a stable systolic inequality like $\mathbb{C}P^N$. However, it turns out the canonical metric is not optimal!

▶ < ∃ >

Quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^N$ satisfies a stable systolic inequality like $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

However, it turns out the canonical metric is not optimal!

Theorem (Bangert, Katz, Shnider, Weinberger [BKSW09])

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ and $stsys_4(g)$ the stable 4-systole of g (defined as above for $H_4(\mathbb{H}P^N;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$). There is a positive constant D_2 , independent of g, such that:

$$Vol(\mathbb{H}P^2,g) \ge D_2 stsys_4(g)^2.$$
(6)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The optimal constant in (6) satisfies $\frac{1}{6} \ge D_2 \ge \frac{1}{14}$, which excludes the value $\frac{3}{10}$ of the canonical metric.

The energy of a mapping belongs to 1-parameter family of invariants:

Let (M,g) and (N,h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The *p*-energy of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h), p \ge 1$, is

$$E_{\rho}(F) = \int_{M} |dF|^{\rho} dVol_{M}.$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

æ

The energy of a mapping belongs to 1-parameter family of invariants:

Let (M,g) and (N,h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The *p*-energy of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h), p \ge 1$, is

$$\mathsf{E}_{p}(F) = \int_{M} |dF|^{p} dVol_{M}.$$

It follows from results of Brian White that there is a positive lower bound for the *p*-energy of mappings homotopic to the identity of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ for $p \ge 4$ (and that there isn't for $1 \le p < 4$).

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

3

The energy of a mapping belongs to 1-parameter family of invariants:

Let (M,g) and (N,h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The *p*-energy of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h), p \ge 1$, is

$$\Xi_{\rho}(F) = \int_{M} |dF|^{\rho} dVol_{M}.$$

It follows from results of Brian White that there is a positive lower bound for the *p*-energy of mappings homotopic to the identity of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ for $p \ge 4$ (and that there isn't for $1 \le p < 4$).

However it follows from results of Wei in [Wei98] that the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not a stable critical point of the 4-energy.

In particular, the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not 4-energy minimizing in its homotopy class.

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

3

The energy of a mapping belongs to 1-parameter family of invariants:

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be Riemannian manifolds:

Definition

The *p*-energy of a mapping $F : (M,g) \rightarrow (N,h), p \ge 1$, is

$$\mathsf{E}_{\rho}(F) = \int_{M} |dF|^{\rho} dVol_{M}.$$

It follows from results of Brian White that there is a positive lower bound for the *p*-energy of mappings homotopic to the identity of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ for $p \ge 4$ (and that there isn't for $1 \le p < 4$).

However it follows from results of Wei in [Wei98] that the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not a stable critical point of the 4-energy.

In particular, the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not 4-energy minimizing in its homotopy class.

More generally, Wei's results imply that the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is an unstable critical point of the *p*-energy for $1 \le p < 2 + \frac{4N}{N+1}$ and a stable critical point for $p \ge 2 + \frac{4N}{N+1}$.

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not 4-energy minimizing in its homotopy class. However we have:

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ be the quaternionic projective space with its canonical Riemannian metric, with $N \ge 2$, and $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ a non-constant mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not 4-energy minimizing in its homotopy class. However we have:

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ be the quaternionic projective space with its canonical Riemannian metric, with $N \ge 2$, and $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ a non-constant mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let B^* be the minimum mass of an integral 4-current representing the class of $F_*(\mathbb{H}P^1)$ in $H_4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

The identity mapping of $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ is not 4-energy minimizing in its homotopy class. However we have:

Theorem (H. [Hois21])

Let $(\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0)$ be the quaternionic projective space with its canonical Riemannian metric, with $N \ge 2$, and $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ a non-constant mapping to a Riemannian manifold.

Let B^* be the minimum mass of an integral 4-current representing the class of $F_*(\mathbb{H}P^1)$ in $H_4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Then for all $p \ge 4$,

$$E_{\rho}(F) > \frac{\pi^{2N}}{(2N+1)!} \left(K_{N} B^{\star} \right)^{\frac{\rho}{4}}.$$
(7)

In (7), $K_N = \frac{32N^2(2N+1)}{\pi^2(2N-1)}$.

• For mappings $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ which realize equality in the results above for $N \ge 3$, is F^*g Kähler?

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

æ

- For mappings $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ which realize equality in the results above for $N \ge 3$, is F^*g Kähler?
- What are optimal lower bounds for the *p*-energy of mappings $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ for $p \ge 4$?

- For mappings $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ which realize equality in the results above for $N \ge 3$, is F^*g Kähler?
- What are optimal lower bounds for the *p*-energy of mappings $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ for $p \ge 4$?
- For which p ≥ 2 + ^{4N}/_{N+1} does the identity mapping of (𝔅P^N, g₀) minimize p-energy in its homotopy class?

(The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian *n*-manifold minimizes *p*-energy in its homotopy class for $p \ge n$.)

- For mappings $F : (\mathbb{C}P^N, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ which realize equality in the results above for $N \ge 3$, is F^*g Kähler?
- What are optimal lower bounds for the *p*-energy of mappings $F : (\mathbb{H}P^N, g_0) \to (M, g)$ for $p \ge 4$?
- For which p ≥ 2 + ^{4N}/_{N+1} does the identity mapping of (𝔅P^N, g₀) minimize p-energy in its homotopy class?

(The identity mapping of any closed Riemannian *n*-manifold minimizes *p*-energy in its homotopy class for $p \ge n$.)

How can we characterize *p*-energy minimizing, or approximately *p*-energy minimizing, families of maps of (*HP*^N, *g*₀)?

Thank you!

イロト イヨト イヨト

크

Bibliography

- Victor Bangert, Mikhail Katz, Steven Shnider and Shmuel Weinberger: *E7, Wirtinger Inequalities, Cayley 4-form and Homotopy*, Duke Mathematical Journal 146.1 (2009): 35-70.
- Christopher B. Croke: *Lower Bounds on the Energy of Maps*, Duke mathematical journal 55.4 (1987): 901-908.

James Eells and Joseph H. Sampson: *Harmonic Mappings of Riemannian Manifolds*, American Journal of Mathematics 86.1 (1964): 109-160.

- Mikhail Gromov: *Structures Métriques Pour Les Variétés Riemanniennes*, Textes Mathematiques [Mathematical Texts] 1 (1981).

Philip Hartman: *On Homotopic Harmonic Maps*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 19 (1967): 673-687.



Joseph Hoisington: *Calibrations and Energy-Minimizing Mappings of Rank-1 Symmetric Spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03160 (2021).



André Lichnerowicz: *Applications Harmoniques et Variétés Kähleriennes*, Symp. math. III, Bologna (1970), 341-402



Pao Ming Pu: Some Inequalities in Certain Nonorientable Riemannian Manifolds, Pacific J. Math 2.1 (1952): 55-71.



Shishu W. Wei: *Representing Homotopy Groups and Spaces of Maps by p-harmonic Maps*, Indiana University mathematics journal (1998): 625-670.



Brian White: Infima of Energy Functionals in Homotopy Classes of Mappings, Journal of Differential Geometry 23.2 (1986): 127-142: